September 9, 2003

Ms. Joanne Wright Associate General Counsel Texas Department of Transportation 125 East 11th Street Austin, Texas 78701-2483

OR2003-6320

Dear Ms. Wright:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 187374.

The Texas Department of Transportation (the "department") received a request for information pertaining to a specified railroad crossing. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.111 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and have reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that portions of the submitted information are subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022 provides that

the following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure under this chapter unless they are expressly confidential under other law:

(3) information in an account, voucher, or contract relating to the receipt or expenditure of public or other funds by a governmental body;

POST OFFICE BOX 12548, AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-2548 TEL: (512) 463-2100 WWW.OAG.STATE.TX.US

An Equal Employment Opportunity Employer + Printed on Recycled Paper

(5) all working papers, research material, and information used to estimate the need for or expenditure of public funds or taxes by a governmental body, on completion of the estimate[.]

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(3), (5). The submitted documents include information that must be released under section 552.022(a)(3), unless it is expressly confidential under other law. The submitted documents also include information that is subject to section 552.022(a)(5) which must be released on completion of the estimate, unless it is expressly confidential under other law. Although the department claims that this particular information is excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.111 of the Government Code, we note that section 552.111 is a discretionary exception to disclosure under the Public Information Act that protects the governmental body's interests and may be waived.\(^1\) As such, section 552.111 is not other law that makes information confidential for the purposes of section 552.022(a). Accordingly, we conclude that the department may not withhold this particular information under section 552.111 of the Government Code.

However, the department asserts that this section 552.022 information is made confidential by law under section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code. This statute provides:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying [sic] evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential accident sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings, pursuant to sections 130, 144, and 152 of this title or for the purpose of developing any highway safety construction improvement project which may be implemented utilizing Federal-aid highway funds shall not be subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any occurrence at a location mentioned or addressed in such reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data.

23 U.S.C. § 409. Federal courts have stated that section 409 excludes from evidence data compiled for purposes of highway and railroad crossing safety enhancement and construction for which a state receives federal funding, in order to facilitate candor in administrative evaluations of highway safety hazards and to prevent federally-required record-keeping from being used for purposes of private litigation. See Harrison v. Burlington N. R.R. Co., 965

¹ Discretionary exceptions are intended to protect only the interests of the governmental body, as distinct from exceptions which are intended to protect information deemed confidential by law or the interests of third parties. See, e.g., Open Records Decision Nos. 630 at 4 (1994) (governmental body may waive attorney-client privilege, section 552.107(1)), 551 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.103 serves only to protect governmental body's position in litigation and does not itself make information confidential), 473 (1987) (governmental body may waive section 552.111), 522 at 4 (1989) (discretionary exceptions in general). Discretionary exceptions, therefore, do not constitute "other law" that makes information confidential.

F.2d 155, 160 (7th Cir. 1992); see also Robertson v. Union Pac. R.R. Co., 954 F.2d 1433, 1435 (8th Cir. 1992). We agree that section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code is other law for purposes of section 552.022(a) of the Government Code. See In re City of Georgetown, 53 S.W.3d 328 (Tex. 2001); see also Pierce County v. Guillen, 123 S.Ct. 720 (2003) (upholding constitutionality of section 409). You state that railway-highway crossings are always eligible for federal aid under section 130 of title 23 of the United States Code and, thus, are federal-aid highways within the meaning of section 409 of title 23. Based on this representation and our review of the information at issue, we conclude that this section 552.022 information is confidential by law under section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code and, thus, must be withheld from the requestor.

Next, we address the department's claim under section 552.111 of the Government Code with respect to the remaining submitted information. Section 552.111 excepts from disclosure "an interagency or intraagency memorandum or letter that would not be available by law to a party in litigation with the agency." Gov't Code § 552.111. Section 552.111 encompasses information that is protected by civil discovery privileges. See Open Records Decision Nos. 647 at 3 (1996), 251 at 2-4 (1980). You claim that the remaining submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.111 because it would be privileged from discovery under section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code. Section 409 provides as follows:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying [sic] evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential accident sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings, pursuant to sections 130, 144, and 152 of this title or for the purpose of developing any highway safety construction improvement project which may be implemented utilizing Federal-aid highway funds shall not be subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any occurrence at a location mentioned or addressed in such reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data.

23 U.S.C. § 409. We note that federal courts have stated that section 409 excludes from evidence data compiled for purposes of highway and railroad crossing safety enhancement and construction for which a state receives federal funding in order to facilitate candor in administrative evaluations of highway safety hazards and to prevent federally-required record-keeping from being used for purposes of private litigation. See Harrison v. Burlington N. R.R. Co., 965 F.2d 155, 160 (7th Cir. 1992); see also Robertson v. Union Pac. R.R. Co., 954 F.2d 1433, 1435 (8th Cir. 1992).

You indicate that the remaining submitted information constitutes interagency memoranda relating to railroad crossing improvements. You assert that section 409 of title 23 would protect this information from discovery in litigation with the department. We note that the

remaining submitted information includes communications with a private entity. Section 552.111 can encompass communications between a governmental body and a third See Open Records Decision Nos. 631 at 2 (1995) party acting as a consultant. (section 552.111 encompasses information created for governmental body by outside consultant acting at governmental body's request and performing task that is within governmental body's authority), 563 at 5-6 (1990) (private entity engaged in joint project with governmental body may be regarded as its consultant), 561 at 9 (1990) (predecessor to section 552.111 encompasses communications with party with which governmental body has privity of interest or common deliberative process), 462 at 14 (1987) (predecessor to section 552.111 applies to memoranda prepared by governmental body's consultants). Based on your representations and our review of the remaining submitted information, we find that section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code would protect this information from discovery in litigation with the department. Accordingly, we conclude that the remaining submitted information is excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.111 of the Government Code.

In summary, the department must withhold the information that is subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code pursuant to section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code. The department may withhold the remaining submitted information pursuant to section 552.111 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one

of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Ronald J. Bounds

Roold J. Bondo

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

RJB/lmt

Ref:

ID# 187374

Enc.

Marked documents

c:

Ms. Deanna Fox, CLA, TBLS Brown, Herman, Dean, Wiseman, Liser & Hart, L.L.P. 306 West 7th Street, Suite 200 Fort Worth, Texas 76102-4905

1 of worth, 1 cas 70102 4703

(w/o enclosures)